RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 2 - 2007

DEANNA J. SHIRLEY Registered Patent Attorney

3418 Baldwin Way, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 707.953.9887 (mobile & voice mail) deanna_shirley@sbcglobal.net

FACSIMILE COVERSHEET

To:	Examiner Christopher Ko	oharski From:	Deanna J. Shi	rley
Co:	USPTO Art Unit 3763	Phone:	(707) 953-988	37
Fax:	(571) 273-8300	Fax:	(707) 571-870	00
Phone:	(703) 272-7230	Date:	7/2/2007	
Re:	10/608,862 No. of pages including cover: 5			
Ø	Urgent	ew Please	Comment	☐ Please Reply
Examiner Koharski,				
Per your instructions in our telephone interview of 6/22/07, the following are proposed				
claims to be filed next Monday with a Request for Continued Examination. I also attach				
a copy o	of the continuation sheet of	f your Interview Sur	mmary sugges	ting claim language.
We look forward to your feedback with regard to the proposed claims.				
Please d	o not hesitate to contact me	if anything further is	required.	
N	cas)	<u>, </u>		
Deanna J. Shirley, Reg. No. 39,026				
facsimil	certify under 37 CFR 1.8 that the (571) 273-8300 or by U.S. Mark Office, Commissioner for Part below.	il First Class with suffi	cient postage to t	he United States Patent and
Di	in JAi		2-07	_
Deanna	J. Shirley	Date		-

Jul 02 07 07:43p Deanna Shirley

08/23/07 SAT 07:15 FAX 7033059835

RECEIVE: 571-8700

US PATENT OF CENTRAL FAX CENTER

₩003

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

JUL 2 - 2007 Application No. 10/608,862

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant and Applicant's attorney kindly discussed the general nature of their invention of a "Computer-Assisted Manipulation of catheter and Guide Wires". Further the Examiner and Applicant's attorney positively discussed how the Ein-Gal reference was used as a rejection against the claims. Examiner stated that the claims and limitations thereof were read in the broadest reasonable interpretation, and the Ein-Gal prior art anticipated the claims as currently written. Specifically the limitation of the second motor coupled to a base enabling rotation about the axis on the elongate member was disclosed in the Ein-Gal reference (Figure 1B). Applicant's attorney and Examiner discussed possible amendments and other relevant prior art that was located in a search directed to the rotation mechanism, such as patent #s: 5,540,656; 6,485,482 and 5,269,751. Examiner suggested adding additional claim language drawn to medical use of the device and further definition of structural components of the rotation system (51, 53, 55) depicted in Figure 2. Examiner also requested formal drawings to be submitted.